

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.mpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/787,806	04/26/2001	Brian Anthony Whittle	65,213-001	9829	
7:	590 06/24/2003				
Robert L Kelly			EXAMINER		
Dykema Gossett 39577 Woodward Avenue Suite 300			OH, SIM	OH, SIMON J	
Bloomfield Hil	ls, MI 48304-2820		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBI		
			1615	12	
			DATE MAILED: 06/24/2003	12	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/787,806	WHITTLE, BRIAN	YNOHTNA				
,	Examin r	Art Unit					
	Simon J. Oh	1615					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 27 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>27 May 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 4-16</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	nt(s)(PTO-1449)	—:/ Sland	<u> </u>				
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	C	oliamudi S. Kishor					
S. Patent and Trademark Office		rimary Examiner roup 1500					

Continuation of 10. Other: The applicant's amendment will not be entered, as they raise new issues that require further search and consideration. Although the original disclosure of the instant application describes the counteractive effects of a mixture of calcium and magnesium salts, it is not clear from the originally filed specification if the disclosed compositions, particularly those described in the examples, do in fact possess this counteractive property. Applicant's arguments against certain individual references of the prior art essentially amount to a piecemeal analysis of the references. The examiner maintains the position that the instantly claimed invention remains obvious in view of the broad collective disclosure of the prior art..

L Show Golizmudi S. Kishore, PhD

Frimary Examiner Group 1500